

# Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/88222/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Von Hecker, Ulrich ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8873-0515>, Sedek, Greg and McIntosh, D. 2015. Commentary on Lane, R. D., Ryan, L., Nadel, L., & Greenberg, L. The importance of processes of mental models construction for better conceptualization of cognitive aspects of change in psychotherapy. Behavioural and Brain Sciences 38 , p. 45. 10.1017/S0140525X14000326 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X14000326>  
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X14000326>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# Behavioral and Brain Sciences

## The Importance of Processes of Mental Model Construction for Better Conceptualization of Cognitive Aspects of Change in Psychotherapy --Manuscript Draft--

<b>Manuscript Number:</b>	
<b>Full Title:</b>	The Importance of Processes of Mental Model Construction for Better Conceptualization of Cognitive Aspects of Change in Psychotherapy
<b>Short Title:</b>	The Importance of Processes of Mental Model Construction
<b>Article Type:</b>	Commentary Article
<b>Corresponding Author:</b>	Grzegorz Sedek, Ph.D. University of Social Sciences and Humanities POLAND
<b>Corresponding Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Corresponding Author's Institution:</b>	University of Social Sciences and Humanities
<b>Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:</b>	
<b>First Author:</b>	Ulrich von Hecker, PhD
<b>First Author Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Order of Authors:</b>	Ulrich von Hecker, PhD
	Daniel N McIntosh, PhD
	Grzegorz Sedek, Ph.D.
<b>Order of Authors Secondary Information:</b>	
<b>Abstract:</b>	We challenge the idea that a cognitive perspective on therapeutic change concerns only memory processes. We argue that inclusion of impairments in more generative cognitive processes is necessary for complete understanding of cases such as depression. In such cases what is identified in the target article as an "integrative memory structure" is crucially supported by processes of mental model construction.

Richard D. Lane, Lee Ryan, Lynn Nadel, and Leslie Greenberg

ABSTRACT: 60 words

MAIN TEXT: 997 words

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS and REFERENCES: 511 words

ENTIRE TEXT: 1640 words

TITLE:

The Importance of Processes of Mental Model Construction for Better Conceptualization of  
Cognitive Aspects of Change in Psychotherapy

Ulrich von Hecker

School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom

Daniel N. McIntosh

Department of Psychology, University of Denver, USA

Grzegorz Sedek (Corresponding Author)

*Interdisciplinary Center for Applied Cognitive Studies, University of Social Sciences and  
Humanities,*

*Chodakowska St. 19/31, 03-815 Warsaw, Poland*

*Phone: +48(22)517 9876*

*e-mail: gsedek@swps.edu.pl or gsedek@gmail.com*

## ABSTRACT

We challenge the idea that a cognitive perspective on therapeutic change concerns only memory processes. We argue that inclusion of impairments in more generative cognitive processes is necessary for complete understanding of cases such as depression. In such cases what is identified in the target article as an "integrative memory structure" is crucially supported by processes of mental model construction.

## MAIN TEXT

We support the approach of Lane and colleagues in focusing on cognitive processes in understanding psychopathology and how to treat it. However, we believe a broader range of processes are necessary to address in particular cases. In our papers (McIntosh et al., 2005; Sedek & von Hecker, 2004; Sedek, Brzezicka, & von Hecker, 2010; von Hecker & Sedek, 1999; von Hecker, Sedek, & Brzezicka, 2013) and edited monographs (Engle, Sedek, von Hecker, & McIntosh, 2004; von Hecker, Dutke, & Sedek, 2000) we stress the specific role of limitations in mental model construction in cognitive psychopathology, especially in subclinical depression.

There are close parallels between aspects of cognitive functioning in depression and the state resulting from pre-exposure to uncontrollability. In line with the cognitive exhaustion model (Sedek & Kofta, 1980; von Hecker & Sedek, 1999) we assume that some of the cognitive impairments observed in depression can be explained in terms of experiences of unsolvable situations, leading to uncertainty. Such experiences may stem from past, irreversible life events, from subsequent rumination, or from counterfactual thinking. It is hypothesized that uncontrollability and, in particular, ruminating thoughts about uncontrollable conditions, can lead to a depletion of those cognitive resources that support flexible, constructive thinking. Extended rumination by a victim of trauma, for example, may lead to cognitive states that impair building

new cognitive models necessary for optimal functioning. Although constructive thinking may be initiated by depressive individuals, this cognitive limitation will impair the quality of new, integrative constructions or mental models related to a particular episode, a class of situations, or in more severe cases, about numerous aspects of life. Further, this may cause broader deficits given the central role of mental model construction for cognition in general (see Brewer, 1987; Garnham, 1997; Greeno, 1989; Holland, Holyoak, Nisbett, & Thagard, 1986; Johnson-Laird, 1996).

Considering only memory processes provides an incomplete picture of cognitive targets for therapeutic change as there is compelling evidence for the existence of the above cognitive limitations in depression (Sedik et al., 2010; von Hecker & Sedek, 1999; von Hecker et al., 2013). Depressed participants demonstrate these limitations across various paradigms tapping mental model construction: (a) mental models of interpersonal sentiment relations (social cliques models); (b) linear order reasoning (mental arrays); (c) evaluation of categorical syllogisms (mental models of logical relations); (d) situation models (inferences about the meaning of written text). Of these, we shall discuss (a) and (b) in greater detail.

Regarding (a), depressed individuals often exhibit compromised interpersonal behavior (e.g., Gotlib & Hammen, 1992). Thus, we (von Hecker & Sedek, 1999) studied how mental models of sentiment patterns are constructed, a crucial component of understanding one's social environment that might be affected by depression. (Participants were presented with series of pairwise sentiment relations (e.g., "Tom and Bill like each other," "Tom and Joe dislike each other") such that the complete set of relations formed subsets of people who like each other within cliques whilst disliking people in other cliques. Amongst all relations, a few diagnostic ones would always determine the actual number of cliques. Although depressed individuals did notice the diagnostic value of these particular relations, they were less accurate than non-

depressed individuals in determining the number of cliques involved. We interpret this as a demonstration of the difficulties depressed people have with the construction of adequate social mental models (von Hecker & Sedek, 1999, Experiments 2 and 3). They remembered the key elements, but they could not generate a mental model based on that information.

Regarding (b), we studied the *symbolic distance effect* (SDE, see Leth-Steensen & Marley, 2000), the phenomenon that if people learn bits of information such as “Tom is older than Harry,” “Harry is older than Jack,” “Jack is older than Bill”, they respond quicker and more accurately when later asked about the older one in pairs of persons wider apart in the ordered sequence (e.g., Tom and Bill) as compared to narrower pairs (e.g., Tom and Harry). We (Sedek & von Hecker 2004) found this effect reversed in depressed participants. Given that the SDE follows on the basis of discriminability assumptions (Holyoak & Patterson, 1981) when people construct an integrated linear model of the order information (e.g., Tom > Harry > Jack > Bill), we think that depressed individuals may not readily construct such models but rather rely on the original piecemeal information when responding. Overall, mental models are a prime vehicle for individuals to determine their perspective in the world and in social contexts (Garnham, 1997; Holland, Holyoak, Nisbett, & Thagard, 1986; Johnson-Laird, 1996; von Hecker, Crockett, Hummert, & Kemper, 1996) such that therapeutic intervention at this point seems essential.

Based on the above perspective and findings, we suggest that a crucial aspect of therapeutic change when dealing with depression (related to traumatic stress and other forms of emotional disturbances) may be to re-strengthen the ability to construct mental models, especially in the social domain. Concerning the therapeutic approaches to the above disturbances we also think that Lane and associates’ term “integrative memory structure” should be complemented by “construction of mental models.” Focusing on the creation of new mental models, especially for disorders such as depression, may be more consistent with the benefits seen from approaches

such as Cognitive Behavioural Therapy that deal with developing functional understandings and responses to current events in contrast to adjusting or understanding prior events.

Finally, we concur with Lane and colleagues on the importance of looking at cognitive processes as leverage points for therapeutic intervention. Cognitive processes are critical to how the internal and external world interact. We believe that as much as Lane et al. are right in stressing the importance of interactions between emotion and memory content as a vantage point for therapeutic intervention, considering interactions between emotions and cognitive procedures is another useful vantage point. Moreover, our specific findings in depression underscore the importance of considering how there may be different foci for different disorders. This broader cognitive approach may have major relevance for future directions in developing therapeutic strategies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The preparation of this paper was supported by the Mistrz Programme (Grzegorz Sedek, Foundation for Polish Science).

## REFERENCES

- Brewer, W. F. (1987). Schemas versus mental models in human memory. In P. Morris (Ed.), *Modelling cognition* (pp. 187 - 197). Chichester: Wiley.
- Brewer, W. F. (1987). Schemas versus mental models in human memory. In P. Morris (Ed.), *Modelling cognition* (pp. 187 - 197). Chichester: Wiley.
- Engle, R.W., Sedek, G., von Hecker, U., & McIntosh, D. N. (Eds.). (2005). *Cognitive limitations in aging and psychopathology*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Garnham, A. (1997). Representing information in mental models. In M.A. Conway (Ed.), *Cognitive models of memory* (pp. 149-172). Cambridge: MIT Press.

- Gotlib, I. H., & Hammen, C. L. (1992). Psychological aspects of depression: Toward a cognitive-interpersonal integration. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Greeno, J. G. (1989). Situations, mental models, and generative knowledge. In D. Klahr & K. Kotovsky (Eds.), *Complex information processing* (pp. 285 - 318). Hillsdale: Erlbaum.
- Holland, J. H., Holyoak, K. J., Nisbett, R. E., & Thagard, P. R. (1986). *Induction: Processes of inference, learning, and discovery*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Holyoak, K. J., & Patterson, K. K. (1981). A positional discriminability model of linear order judgments. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 7, 1283-1302.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1996). Images, models, and propositional representations. In: M. de Vega, M. J. Intons-Peterson, P. N. Johnson-Laird, M. Denis & M. Marschark (Eds.), *Models of visuospatial cognition* (pp. 90 - 127). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Leth-Steensen, C., & Marley, A. A. J. (2000). A model of response time effect in symbolic comparison. *Psychological Review*, 107, 62–100.
- McIntosh, D. N., Sedek, G., Fojas, S., Brzezicka-Rotkiewicz, A., & Kofta, M. (2005). Cognitive Performance after preexposure to uncontrollability and in a depressive state: Going with a simpler “Plan B”. In R. W. Engle, G. Sedek, U. von Hecker, D. N. McIntosh, D. N. (Eds.), *Cognitive limitations in aging and psychopathology* (pp. 219-246). New York, NY US: Cambridge University Press.
- Sedek, G., Brzezicka, A., & von Hecker, U. (2010). The unique cognitive limitation in subclinical depression: The impairment of mental model construction. In A. Gruszka, G. Matthews, B. Szymura, (Eds.) , *Handbook of individual differences in cognition: Attention, memory, and executive control* (pp. 335-352). New York, NY US: Springer Science + Business Media.



Sedik, G., & Kofta, M. (1990). When cognitive exertion does not yield cognitive gain: Toward an informational explanation of learned helplessness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58, 729 - 743.

von Hecker, U., Crockett, W. H., Hummert, M. L., & Kemper, S. (1996). Social cliques as mental models. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 26, 741 - 749.

von Hecker, U., & Sedek, G. (1999). Uncontrollability, depression, and the construction of mental models. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 77, 833-850.

von Hecker, U., Dutke, S., Sedek, G. (Eds.). (2000). *Generative mental processes and cognitive resources: Integrative research on adaptation and control*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

von Hecker, U., Sedek, G., & Brzezicka, A. (2013). Impairments in mental model construction and benefits of defocused attention: Distinctive facets of subclinical depression. *European Psychologist*, 18(1), 35-46.